

Middle East Peace Plan 2014

Proposal to the Palestinian Authority

- 1. Unity within Palestine**
- 2. Sustainable peace with Israel**

This proposal is a highly condensed version of a 2-stage plan designed to create unity and economic prosperity within Palestine and separately, a sustainable solution for peace between the people of Palestine and Israel.

It is built upon the following principals;

1. Agreement with any 3rd party outside of Palestine regarding any aspect of the plan for Palestinian unity must not be necessary. Requiring agreement from outsiders on any aspect would definitely introduce obstacles and so, this requirement is therefore eliminated by keeping all components within already-accepted international laws and, by keeping them strictly within Palestinian domestic policy and soil.

2. No sustainable solution for peace with Israel is possible without the direct involvement of ALL Palestinians themselves. Without this, any agreements reached by representatives with 3rd parties on behalf of the Palestinian people will be meaningless and will not serve either the Palestinian or Israeli people or ultimately, even their representatives.

3. Without full domestic unity between ALL Palestinians and their representatives, the Palestinian position regarding negotiations with Israel is substantially weakened and, this in-turn enables further weakening and abuse of the Palestinian position by 3rd parties [such as the Europeans and the Americans] who have their own fear-based agendas to secure.

4. While the Palestinian representatives [even under the new unity government] insist upon dictating all policy to the Palestinian people, they themselves then become responsible for ALL domestic disunity issues, and Israeli policy towards Palestine becomes merely an influence. The difference in foreign policy towards Israel between the representatives provides the primary technical obstacle regarding the formation of a true Palestinian unity government and so, in order to achieve the formation of a true and sustainable unity government, the will to dictate policy regarding any issue must be handed to the people themselves through the formation of a direct democracy using the web and phone, administered by all representatives working together.

5. No sustainable solution for the conflict will be possible with direct British Government involvement while the British Parliament remains a Christian theocracy and, while its current leader remains a hard-line Judaic-Christian who believes that he is a direct descendant of Moses who is doing God's 'work'. I believe that his attitude is highly demonstrable of an over-inflated ego and, is unbalanced and therefore unhelpful.

6. No sustainable solution for the conflict will be possible with direct British Government involvement while the British Parliament remains in denial of its past involvement in co-creating the conflict, therefore remaining unable to factor this into its thinking and

proposals.

7. Energy spent making Israel appear as if it doesn't want peace, would be far better spent showing the world that Palestine does want peace and, by direct action instead of by words and gestures alone.

8. No sustainable solution for the conflict will come from religious orientations while the religious leaders preach that a God who created everything, also has needs and requirements of humans [or of anything]. Logic alone demonstrates that if a God created everything, then this God can not possibly have any needs or dependencies [upon anything or anyone] and so can not possibly be threatened by anything [contrary to the core doctrine of Judaism, Christianity, Catholicism and Islam]. I believe that until this contradiction is addressed by religious leaders and scholars, religiously-biased and/or influenced input can only contribute negatively in the long-term.

Regarding the Pope's recent intervention, why pray to God for peace when all conflicts are started by humans [and not by God] using their free will and therefore, when the solution is within Humans? Maybe it would be more productive for all of us to pray for strength, clarity and guidance?

Unity Within Palestine

In order to potentially achieve sustainable peace with Israel in accordance with this proposal, unity within Palestine must be achieved first because, it is from this that recognition from Israel will eventually be achieved and, it will be the recognition of the Israeli people themselves that is attained, regardless of any position adopted by the Israeli Government [the Israeli Government's position will have no relevance or bearing on the choices of the Palestinian people and their representatives with regards to the components in this proposal].

I hereby propose that all representatives that currently represent the people of Palestine form a true and sustainable unity government through the formation of a Palestinian direct democracy administration, instead of attempting to form yet another contradictory so-called 'representative' democracy, as is common throughout the West.

In principal, this is simply an expansion of the current system implemented in 2013 that as I understand, allows Palestinians to partake in the Peace Process via the web and phone and, has also inadvertently enabled them to practice living in a direct democracy [to a limited degree].

A Palestinian Administration that brings direct democracy to its people will be the world's first true democracy [democracy meaning 'rule of the people'] since its conception in ancient Greece and, would therefore become the most democratically-advanced nation in the world. The Greeks invented democracy [not 'representative' democracy] and even in ancient times, the Greeks decided upon ALL policy implementation themselves and did not vote to elect representatives.

In his efforts as a so-called 'Peace Envoy', Tony Blair has at least achieved being able to enhance and improve the Palestinian telecommunications infrastructure to the point where it could now deliver direct democracy via the web and phone and as such, I encourage the Palestinian people to capitalise on the one positive thing that he has achieved - especially as he would never have intended it to be used for the birth of a direct democracy and possibly, of a new free world?

Obviously, an administration that carries the majority will of its people into law will have no powers to dictate policy itself but, this 'loss' will be replaced by other benefits that come from being a truly democratic administration of a truly united nation and currently, no nation in the world is united because no government in the world is ready to evolve to a position of service to its people, with ALL instead choosing to retain 'power' [especially in the West]. In my opinion and due to the nature, psychology and role of 'representative' politicians, they never will be ready to do this.

If the representatives would be willing to sacrifice any desires to dictate policy [ALL policy] over the Palestinian people, a true Palestinian Unity Administration could be formed immediately. Alternatively [and in the current climate], if just one of these representatives chose to offer direct democracy to the people of Palestine, this group would likely find that ALL Palestinians would vote for it to become an administration because, the Palestinian people are currently making it very clear that they desire unity above all else and, even above anything to do with Israel.

It must be stated that with some encouragement and while feeling so much resentment towards their representatives, the Palestinian people themselves could decide to form their own direct democracy and to leave those who seek power and control outside of any framework.

As well as benefiting from full domestic unity, benefiting from the status achieved in being the world's first true democracy [since ancient Greek times] and, benefiting from the status achieved in being the world's most technologically advanced nation, other benefits that could potentially be attained within a Palestinian direct democracy include a stronger economy [through many mechanisms such as reduced crime levels, increased tourism revenues and increased manufacturing and export] and, a lasting peace with Israel - without negotiation.

If the Palestinian representative's personal desire for power over the people amounts to a continuation of Israeli and internal oppression against the Palestinian people, the representatives themselves therefore become part of the problem and so, a desire for peace and prosperity must be prioritised above any desire for domestic power, if peace with Israel is to be achieved.

The issue of Palestine becoming the modern world's first true democracy has nothing to do with Israel or with any other 3rd party and so put simply; Are all of the representatives of Palestine willing to prioritise their personal desire for power, above any desire for peace and prosperity? If the representatives [or just one of them] truly prioritises the welfare of the Palestinian people, it goes without saying that power [decision-making ability] will be handed to the people themselves through a reform to direct democracy so as to enable full unity.

Sustainable peace with Israel

Upon the formation of a true Palestinian Unity Administration that delivers direct democracy [not just a limited forum for public participation] to the people of Palestine by using the web and phone, it is very likely that in-turn, the people of Israel would then demand a reform to direct democracy within Israel. With the on-going anti-austerity protests and demands by up to 80% of the Israeli people for a cessation in settlement building and for recognition of a Palestinian State and, after actually seeing the benefits of direct democracy in Palestine, Israel itself would likely follow Palestine in a reform to direct democracy.

It is actually highly likely that after this stage, many other countries would follow Palestine in choosing to liberate themselves from corrupt fear-based representation.

If Israel became a direct democracy after being encouraged by seeing a direct democracy in Palestine, I believe that the majority will of the people would demand through Israeli law, a cessation of settlement building and, that Palestine is given full recognition at the United Nations.

Should this happen, the dynamics in the relationship between the people of Palestine and Israel would shift dramatically and I believe that as direct democracies living side-by-side, that relations would be substantially increased and strengthened through the potential for trade and other cultural exchange mechanisms between the two nations and, the positive implications for the rest of the world are almost endless.

Generally, countries that are now emerging as the strongest economies are countries that are physically small, countries that don't use quantitative easing and bail-out Western banks, and countries that use any degree of public participation in policy decision-making. On this basis, a direct democracy in Palestine could yield massive economic benefits - regardless of the status of the relationship with Israel or, of Israeli policy itself [it is not compromised in any way].

Self rule and freedom [the ability to make choices] is in the nature of all humans because regardless of any legal or political architecture, it is people themselves who decide upon every decision [regardless of the influence]. In any situation, the power of resistance always eventually equates to the power of oppression and so one day, ALL countries will eventually become direct democracies. The only question is when [not if]; now and in peace and under true leadership or, in the future through force, revolution, and violence.

Humans are the ONLY social group species remaining not to use direct democracy, while all others have now been discovered to use it and so, it is nature's way of doing politics

and as with everything else within nature and of God, it works and, I strongly suggest that we translate God's way of doing politics into a sustainable solution for peace with Israel and separately, a solution for true unity and prosperity for Palestine as soon as possible.

Obviously, there will be resistance from most EU governments and from the American and Israeli governments who I know [through my work with David Cameron], do not want any country to reform to direct democracy but, only for the fear of it happening in their own countries. However, agreement from any 3rd party outside of Palestine is not required.

Summary:

If the representatives of the Palestinian people truly desire to liberate and to protect the people of Palestine, they now have the mechanism with which to achieve this and for the sake of the people of both Palestine and Israel, I encourage all Palestinian representatives to take this opportunity as soon as possible and to show the world that Palestine means peace. At the same time, Palestine becoming the world's first true democracy since ancient Greek times would also bring an end to a major point of Western political hypocrisy which in-turn would benefit the whole world and not just Palestine.

This contradictory Western notion of democracy is the basis for ALL arguments used for ALL wars launched by the West since the Falklands campaign in 1982 and in recent times, this false notion of democracy has enabled for the illegal over-throwing of the formerly elected Ukraine government - which is a direct threat to Russia and so, Western democracy hypocrisy must be brought to an end as soon as possible and the best way to do this is for any country anywhere in the world to reform to direct democracy.

In my belief, all civil wars are caused by partisan political structures - they are basically squabbles about who is going to rule over who. Direct democracy removes the 'need' for people to argue about leadership and partisan issues and allows people to vote on policies from their own individual perspective [which would naturally include their religious and any other principal beliefs], and not from that of a left or right perspective. Direct democracy is outside of the political spectrum because it is people-based instead of partisan-based.

I firmly believe that in this day and age, a true leader would show their people of why they don't actually need a leader, and would fight to prioritise their needs over any personal desire and, direct democracy enables for the opportunity for any representative to do this - in any country.

Western-style 'representative' democracies are coming to a natural end [they are no longer required and so lack purpose] and direct democracy is the ONLY system that allows for true domestic unity within any country because, a country where the people themselves decide upon all laws would be a country at peace with itself and with others [only representatives vote for war - never the people].