

Comms. Thread between PA crime victims and Dave Thompson's West Midlands Police

The emails [and a letter] in the thread [covering 2015-2018] between myself and Dave Thompson's WMP [West Midlands Police] are listed in the chronological order that they were sent/received and, the following notes are included so as to help 3rd parties to maintain contexts and definitions. There are several aspects that although possibly relevant, are not though directly related to the criminal issues that Dave Thompson and WMP have allowed to occur at my property and, against other PA personnel since 2015. I believe that the thread itself demonstrates Dave Thompson's and WMP's on-going unlawful bias, misapplication of the law, siding with criminal attackers, framing of PA personnel, protection of criminals, incitement of criminals to commit further crimes and, incitement of criminals to psychologically bully vulnerable victims of crime.

S = Myself [PA Party officer and PA Party Co-Founder]
Alex = Alex Romane [PA Party Co-Founder who is also my main witness]
S* = 3rd party witness who is NOT related to the PA True Democracy Party

- Email No.17;

One of my Muslim neighbours [his religion is relevant] bought a car that has the alarm wired to his horn - meaning that his horn blasts [twice] automatically whenever he gets in or out of his car [a criminal offence]. He is not willing to pay to rectify it and so obviously doesn't give a s**t about the welfare of others [including children] in our street and, he returns from work in the early hours every night and parks outside of my house whenever suits him. In addition, he also has an un-silenced exhaust and while trying to resolve this issue on behalf of myself, himself, and others in the community [including some Muslim mothers who had secretly asked Alex for his help with this issue], Alex tried to talk with the owner of this car instead of contacting the police [Alex didn't want to cause him any problems] and, after mentioning to this person that he had "*other legal options*" if he wasn't amenable to talking with him about it.

Alex usually gives adversaries the benefit of the doubt ahead of responding [by hijacking their names for SEO purposes or calling on his special forces contacts or the police] and, he learned much about strategy while working on the Mid-East Peace Process:

http://paparty.co.uk/direct_democracy_comment/2014/12.htm

This person immediately dismissed, insulted, and lied to Alex about his car being to blame and when Alex challenged him about it again one night a few weeks later, he again dismissed and insulted Alex - while turning his car alarm on and off several times after being incited to do so by another member of his family who was witnessing the argument. He then lied to his wider family and to others in the community by telling them that Alex had previously threatened him physically while calling him a "*Muslim b**ch.*" and during his final remonstrations with this person [and now also with other members of his family], Alex was threatened with murder and with the bombing of my house and, after this person had again parked outside of my house in the early hours. All of this was recorded by a video phone that Alex was holding in his hand at the time - they didn't want to talk with him and only wanted to insult and to fight him and, their bullying of Alex [who is terminally-ill] and others in the community continues to this day - consciously assisted by Dave Thompson and WMP who go out of their way to obstruct our reporting of any anti-social crimes committed by Muslims. In response to their unlawful neglect and obstruction of our access to the law, Alex has informed Dave Thompson and his staff that he will use Section 3 of the Criminal Law Act 1967 so as to lawfully break any laws at any point in time, if and when acting in direct response to any further crimes or criminal threats. In addition, Alex also informed Dave Thompson that if he himself is ever arrested regarding anything, that he will refuse a caution [if offered] so as to force WMP to charge him with anything related, which in-turn would mean that any issue goes to court [Alex's preference].

Put simply [and in my belief]; Dave Thompson and WMP have NOT learned from the mistakes of their previous racist [despite Islam being a philosophy and NOT genetic] and politically-motivated decisions to ignore, appease, and to cover-up Islamic-oriented criminality [which includes child grooming, and the gang rape of non-Muslim schoolgirls]. It is obvious to me that WMP still believes that Islam is a race as opposed to a philosophy [and so is inherently racist] and is therefore still too scared to do its job without bias and, a bias that we [as non-Muslims surviving in an Islamic enclave] experience on a daily basis.

For example; Endured by ALL who live in Birmingham's Islamic enclaves is prolific littering [Muslim mothers proactively teach their children to place rubbish in the gutter] and fly tipping, car horns, speeding, engine revving and fireworks throughout most nights, accompanied by bonfires during most days that regularly include the burning of plastic and toxic waste. Endured by non-Muslims is the direct questioning from Muslims about why they are there [even if their family was living there before any Muslims were] and while ALL of these acts are criminal offences, we believe that police forces across the UK are appeasing these and other anti-social acts if committed by Muslims, just like how they appeased Islamic extremist child rape gangs across the UK.

*"I have lived in many towns and cities across the UK and I have never experienced communities that are so ready to s**t on each other on a daily basis, as I have done in Birmingham's Islamic enclaves."* - Alex Romane

While working with the Muslim Brotherhood during 2001-2002, Alex worked with an Imam where they jointly visited Muslim households to educate about the specific issues mentioned and so, Alex knows for himself that some Muslims DO recognise these problems within their own communities and DO work to eradicate them. In addition to his willing appeasement of Islamic anti-social crimes, Dave Thomson also has form for protecting paedophiles [of ANY orientation] but, especially if they are current or former-serving officers:

http://paparty.co.uk/direct_democracy_comment/2015/5.htm

During the first remonstrations with my so-called 'peaceful' and 'moderate' Islamic neighbour, Alex lost it a bit [in response to being dismissed and insulted] and told this person that he was fed-up with being treated *"Like I was just another one of your Islamic b**ches."* but, Alex did NOT though physically threaten him OR call him a *"Muslim b**ch."* Why would Alex incite local Muslims when his friends, colleagues, and former girlfriends are Muslims, while he is working from within an Islamic community and, while he is preparing to testify against the remaining people who kidnapped his daughter almost 20 years ago [his priority]? Alex would NOT be so stupid as to generate fights with locals that could obstruct his fights with kidnappers and parliamentarians. I've known him for almost twenty years and if Alex ever had any issue with a local, he would not let them know this [he is an experienced strategist] until after hitting them.

I also believe that it is worth pointing-out that while both Alex and myself are non-religious believers of God, that we are NOT though anti-religious person and, that the last person who Alex saved the life of was actually a Muslim [throughout his life, Alex has saved the lives of four people during four separate circumstances].

http://paparty.co.uk/direct_democracy_and_religion.htm

For various reasons, Alex decided to keep the video footage to himself and not to formally report their friend who he witnessed threatening to rape the mother of a lone female police officer [mentioned in various emails]. He did though make arrangements for the video he captured to be broadcast into the public domain and, for copies to be sent to all UK police forces [as opposed to just being sent to Dave Thompson and WMP] and the media if they do carry out any of their threats [protective and counter measures have also been put in place]. As already mentioned, Alex is terminally-ill and he also has no family [any remaining members either work for his enemies, or support them in other ways] and so ultimately, he has nothing to lose [unlike the family that threatened him].

Even at this stage, Alex has not published their vehicle number plates, names, or addresses - which he could LAWFULLY do at any time due to the fact that these people's details are already in the public domain via census data resources [such as 192 for example] that they themselves freely-chose to submit.

- Email No.19;

Alex is testifying in the Inquiry into Establishment-led Child Abuse and Child Abuse Cover-ups, as he became exposed to government-oriented abuse when he worked as an internet security officer while preparing for a job with CEOP [before its initial formation] and, after being head-hunted by the government directly in late 2000 to undertake this work.

While preparing to start working [independently] with WMP in 2001, they blocked [for political reasons, not policing reasons] a strategy that Alex had developed so as to rescue abused children on-line and due to WMP's criminal obstruction [it is a crime for the police to make policing decisions along political lines], it wasn't ran until 2002 and, only after the FBI picked-up on it. Incidentally, Operation Ore is still the world's most successful

joint police operation to-date and, it netted over 70,000 active paedophiles [including four Metropolitan police officers who committed suicide within the first week]. To this day, Tracy O'Dell [PSD] and others at WMP refuse to either confirm or deny Alex's work [to any 3rd party] with them in 2001 or, that he was even there. They also deny obstruction and so, he is testifying about every aspect of his experience with them since 2001 in the inquiry.

- Email No.23;

Alex's daughter was kidnapped in 1999 but, he managed to find her and to prosecute some of those involved but for reasons to do with her welfare then and now, he was not and is still not yet able to contact her so as to inform her as to what happened [she has been programmed]. Ever since he found her, he watches-out for her by remote and while she is now okay [she is a strong and healthy adult], she has no idea of his on-going involvement in her life, that he never abandoned her, or of anything that had happened just after she was born.

The only reason for why it was not reported in the media is because the government placed a non-disclosure order on the entire case as it was mostly theirs and WMP's fault that it had happened but, Alex has since violated these orders and the Official Secrets Act that he signed in 2001 when working with WMP and, he has published everything about it without penalty because in 2015, he was afforded the legal right to do so by the attorney general and the panel of the Inquiry into Establishment-led Child Abuse and Child Abuse Cover-ups.

- Letter [No.26];

Tracy O'Dell demonstrates that WMP do NOT understand wildlife protection laws, OR the legislation that allows for verbal aggression when in defence of criminal attacks [chief constables from other forces openly encourage shouting in such situations]. Tracy has therefore demonstrated how WMP has misapplied the law in both regards and, she has also demonstrated much personal confusion generally [she is all over the place]. For eg; While Alex did work with David Cameron [voluntarily and independently between 2008-2014], no one worked FOR David Cameron and, no one ever told her this.

- Email No.27;

The PA is a registered environmental, social, community and political organisation that promotes true democracy and that Alex and myself work with voluntarily.

- Email No.28;

Any mention of 'friends' refers to the land lord of a neighbouring property and his family who WMP have unlawfully protected from arrest and prosecution regarding ALL of the crimes that they have committed against me, my property, and my protected wildlife sanctuary.

Email No.30:

The article mentioned has already been published [in-part] and, we did also hijack relevant WMP-related terms and names on Google [Alex is very good at SEO]. In response to us doing this, Dave Thompson then contacted Google to request that they do not index the article in their search engine [his only legal right, as he can not prosecute us for publishing it as he himself knows it to be true]. In return, we then lawfully re-linked the article to Google permanently [our legal right] and then informed him of this. He has since quit fighting us and so, we are free to publish anything about what has happened unhindered provided that what we publish is true and, can be shown to be true.

Alex also mentions to WMP about how his energy invention will be used to amplify his voice and although this looks desperate, it is actually true. Alex mentioned this to WMP from within the context of operating while under a mainstream media blackout that has been in place since the PA Party's inception in March 2010 and, that Dave Thompson [Chief Constable of WMP], the politicians, and many others continue to exploit. Alex's R&D team [of which I am a member] patented the world's first infinite atomic battery technology in 2017 and prototypes of electronic consumables are already being funded by 3rd parties ready for launch throughout 2019 and so, Alex believes that his tech products themselves will break the media blackout against him, and all he does.

All communications between myself, Alex, and WMP are as follows:

1; 6 Feb 2017 at 18:50

Dear Michelle,

Towards the end of last year I contacted yourself regarding the destruction of birds nests, eggs and other wildlife habitat following the felling of my privet hedge by the next door neighbour's landlord even though he was alerted to, in particular, the birds nests existence. You then kindly came around to my house to see for yourself and my friend and I were able to give you a media stick with various evidence on.

I actually have some more evidence and also I was just wondering if there had been any developments. I have left a number of messages on your answerphone (101 823 6070) but I am guessing there is a problem with the line.

I would be grateful if you could email me back/let me know if I should be calling a different number.

Thanking you for your attention,

Yours sincerely,

S.

**** **

2; 6 Feb 2017 at 18:50

Thankyou for your email. Should your email require a response be rest assured someone will make contact with you in due course. If your require immediate or urgent contact please contact us on the contact details below.

Kind Regards

Springfield Neighbourhood Team

Sparkhill Police Station

101 EXT 823 6069/ 70

3; 4 Jul 2017 at 16:41

Dear Sir/Madam,

After telephoning your office on Friday 30th June and outlining my complaint regarding The Police Force I was advised to email the details to yourselves using you online form.

To this end please find attached Complaint Form. As stated on the form it is often difficult for me to take telephone calls in the daytime and consequently I would request that any replies or correspondence be emailed to me at this email address.

Thanking you in advance,

Yours faithfully,

S

4; 12 Jul 2017 at 16:14

Dear Mr Q****,

Thank you for contacting the Independent Police Complaints Commission (IPCC). We acknowledge receipt of your email dated 04 July 2017 regarding your complaint against West Midlands Police. The case reference

number is 2017/088786, which you should quote in all future correspondence.

We are completely independent of the police service and are responsible for making sure that the police complaints system in England and Wales works effectively and fairly. However, each police force is responsible for considering complaints made against that force and recording your complaint.

Our role at this stage is to forward your complaint to the relevant police force. If you are not happy with the police's decision on recording your complaint, you have the right to appeal to us.

I have passed the matter to the Professional Standards Department (PSD) of West Midlands Police for them to consider and the police will be contacting you soon.

If you have not heard from the relevant police force within 15 working days you may wish to contact them directly.

West Midlands Police
PO Box 52
Lloyd House
2 Colmore Circus Queensway
Birmingham
B4 6NQ

Tel: 0345 113 5000
E-mail: BD_psd@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk

If you have any further information you wish to pass on, please forward it directly to the PSD at the above address.

Yours sincerely

James Bromley
Customer Contact Advisor
Independent Police Complaints Commission
PO Box 473
Sale
M33 0BW

Tel: 0300 020 0096
Email: enquiries@ipcc.gsi.gov.uk
Twitter: @ipcc_enquiries
www.ipcc.gov.uk

5; 16 Aug 2017 at 10:00

Dear Mr Q*****

Apologies for the delay in responding to you, we are currently experiencing a high volume of correspondence which is being dealt with in date order to ensure equality.

I have spoken to PC Wood who is surprised that you are dissatisfied with her interactions with you and felt that the last conversation was a very positive one. She has offered to make contact with you should you wish to discuss further topics with her. She did confirm that the last advice she provided you was to make contact with the RSPCA and the incident log that your call on the 2 November relates to shows that the advice at that stage was to make contact with the RSPB.

I look forward to your response.

Regards

DC 5102 Tracy O'Dell
Assessments and Improvements Team.
Professional Standards Department.
internal ext; 801 1677

6; 23 Aug 2017 at 19:23

Dear Tracy,

Firstly, I am confused as to why PC Wood is surprised that I am dissatisfied with our interactions when she has on multiple occasions spanning months ignored several messages left on her voicemail. I am also surprised that she has told you that she is confused about this when she failed to turn up at my house - which was only hours after finally getting through to her on the telephone. In this conversation, PC Wood apologised for her lack of response and, I even excused her myself by emphasising how I was aware of the implications of government cuts to police budgets since 2010 etc.

Secondly, the last conversation that PC Wood and myself actually had was the one detailed above - hence as to why I am now confused at what you state PC Wood has told you. I therefore fail to see how PC Wood could consider any of this to be positive or even credible, as her account is entirely inaccurate.

If PC Wood had in fact referred me back to the RSPB, then why did she accept the USB evidence stick after viewing it and, why would she have told me that she was going to interview the landlord as soon as possible with a view to getting back to me after?

The fact that PC Wood forgot to give me a crime reference number when she accepted the evidence is due to her incompetence, and not mine. However, in the light of all of this confusion and of your offer of a continuation I would be happy to continue to work again with PC Wood. I must though at this stage point out that the reason for why PC Wood attended was because both the RSPB and PC Wood had already confirmed that there were in fact multiple criminal issues and, that the initial advice given to me in November that the log refers to was not only inaccurate, but was unlawful too. Therefore, PC Wood did not refer me back to the RSPB at ANY time.

Whilst PC Wood was at my house, a friend (who is also a witness to the criminality committed by the neighbours landlord) was present while she viewed the damaged garden, the photographic evidence, and listened to the recordings of the landlord deriding both my rights, and the Law. This witness to the events who was also present when PC Wood was at my house, will confirm exactly what I tell you when I state that at NO time did PC Wood ever suggest that this was a civil issue that should therefore be referred back to the RSPB.

If either yourself or PC Wood are now denying that this ever happened, then I will send your response (including this communication and all evidence on the USB stick given to PC Wood) to The IPCC, if I do not hear back from either yourself or PC Wood within the mandated 15 days. I actually really liked PC Wood and was extremely satisfied with her because like me, she was passionate about wildlife and associated criminality and I would therefore very much like to continue this case with PC Wood if she is agreeable.

Yours,
S.

7; 23 Aug 2017 at 19:24

I am currently on annual leave until 04 September 2017, if your query is urgent, could you make contact with the duty desk at the Professional Standards Department on 801 5841.

Many thanks
DC 5102 O'Dell.

8; 11 Oct 2017 at 12:30

Dear Mr Bromley,

Ref: 2017/088786

The following 2 emails will each contain a Zip File. They contain a duplicate of the evidence supplied to WMP on the USB stick, plus Additional evidence that WMP are now unwilling to collect.

A copy of the data on the USB evidence stick supplied to PC Wood has been sent to you, so that you can see the evidence of the criminality yourself and, so that you can see the outstanding evidence (such as damaged birds nests and photographs of the garden since being repaired) that PC Wood had asked me to collect for her.

On 23rd August 2017, Tracy O'Dell from WMP responded to me with the email enclosed below. I then responded to her (my response is included) but, it has now been well over 2 weeks, (I've even given Tracy O' Dell extra time) and I have heard nothing from her. I did mention to her that I would pass a copy of my email to you, if she failed to respond.

As you will see, PC Wood and Tracy O'Dell are now denying that any criminal activity was committed by the neighbour's landlord on my property so if PC Wood is now denying that she took the case, then I have the following four questions;

1. If (as PC Wood states), she did not take the case and did instead refer me to the RSPB, then why did she take the USB evidence stick after viewing the evidence on it?
2. Why did she proceed to interview the landlord?
3. Why did she take a statement from S* (the landlord's former tenant and witness) after interviewing the landlord?
4. Why would the landlord and his family be motivated to intimidate S*, if PC Wood had not already interviewed them?

Within the attached Zip File, the audio recording made by my friend and witness (19.12.2016 - S* reporting intimidation - Edit] details the intimidation and, S* was not aware that the call was being recorded. This is because she had previously intimated that she did not want to report it so with this being the case, I believed that it was necessary to do this for future reference and, for her own protection, should anything have happened to her or her family.

If it had in fact been decided by any party at any stage that someone trespassing into my garden to collapse my 25 foot privet with his electric saw and killing the birds that I had informed him were nesting within it and, if sending people to intimidate witnesses does not constitute criminal behaviour, then I have two further questions;

- 1) At what point would such behaviour constitute criminal activity?
- 2) If (as according to Tracy O' Dell and PC Wood), none of this behaviour represents criminal activity, then why has Tracy O' Dell offered a continuation? What is it that she offers to continue with - being that she does not believe that any crime has been committed?

I reiterate; it was the RSPB who referred me to the police based upon the level of criminality and, both WMP and you are already aware of this. Are all parties now denying that they knew that this had actually happened?

For you ref;

<https://www.google.co.uk/search?q=uk+nesting+birds+protection+law>

Do you really believe that WMP are not aware of all of the laws quoted by all of the websites in the above results list? If they are not aware, then how did either of them ever pass police officer training?

I am aware that it is a criminal offence of the utmost seriousness for a police officer to lie against both witnesses and victims of crime and, I believe I have evidenced that this is now the case. As such, I am obviously free to disclose all of this to any 3rd party (such as my solicitor/media etc).

In light of finding myself being lied against by WMP, any continuation of this case (which any 3rd party can see is criminal) with PC Wood, would now be entirely inappropriate.

As previously asked; what (if this is not a crime) is it that they are offering to continue with after lying to me and to you and, after now denying that they ever took the case, interviewed the neighbour's landlord, and interviewed S* (a crucial witness) who will confirm to any 3rd party that she was interviewed by PC Wood.

I believe this offer is an overt contradiction that alone demonstrates that WMP have lied about telling me to refer the case to the RSPB, or to ANY 3rd party.

PC Wood listened to the secret recordings of the landlord telling my friend of his disdain for the my property, the law, and protected wildlife [attached]. These recordings, all evidence (including photos) and the evidence that I myself already have that proves that as a victim of crime, I am being both lied to and about, will be forwarded to my solicitor. (I also have tangible evidence such as birds nests which continue to deteriorate).

However, and even at this stage and if WMP are prepared to handle this case lawfully (therefore preventing me from taking alternative action) I would be happy for a continuation as soon as possible but, only with other officers from WMP and, I would very much appreciate a response from you as soon as possible, and to no longer receive any communications from either Tracy O' Dell or PC Wood as in my belief, they are now lying to me, and to you.

Incidentally, if PC Wood is now also lying about accepting the USB evidence stick, then this actually amounts to criminal theft of the USB evidence stick itself and with regards to the recording please excuse that my friend who made the recording, of the landlord, lost his balance and so can be heard shouting back but, the landlords derision of myself, my rights, my property, the nesting birds and the law itself can still be heard. Incidentally my friend who made the recording was the witness who was with me when PC Wood initially visited my home to collect the evidence.

This issue is no longer merely about trespass, criminal vandalism, killing protected wildlife and intimidation - the entire community will now know that they can now attack me, my property, and the wildlife I protect.

I further resent the time and effort that WMP have cost me, in now having to fight against WMP and the assailant and in addition to being lied to as a victim of crime. I will therefore request of my solicitor that he pushes for the maximum amount of compensation, should I prosecute WMP for unlawful behaviour.

You will see from the supporting information (Chronology of issues at ** D***** Rd) that I have endured an extensive history of wanton vandalism, abuse of my rights, abuse of my property, and abuse of the wildlife that I protect and WMP are aware of this history.

I hope to hear back from you within the next 15 days.

Yours,
S.

9; 13 Oct 2017 at 12:38

Dear Mr Bromley,

With regards to supporting information document labelled Chronology of issues at ** D***** Rd, this was originally created and published in 2013 by my friend (who is also a witness). The number ** in the title is a typo and was meant to be **.

I felt that it was important that you understood the context of why this document has been submitted to you as supporting information. There is also additional supporting information and complete audio recordings that can be sent to you upon request.

As you will see while myself and my friends do have issues with religion, we have NO issue with race.

In addition to the information that was previously sent to you I forgot to mention that when the privet fell, it collapsed the neighbouring landlord's shed (as per the images). PC Wood has acknowledged that the shed presents a danger to anyone (especially children) who may be in the garden. PC Wood is also aware that the only way to remove the collapsed shed was for my friend to clear the section of the privet that had fallen onto it. PC Wood was aware that this could only have been done in the winter that proceeded restoring the garden.

During all of this time and due to PC Woods neglect, my friend has not been able to arrange to clear the section of privet that fell onto the landlord's shed, and so it has remained a constant danger. The landlord refuses to inform new tenants of the dangers and I suspect that this is actually with the intention of letting something happen. I believe this because of the attitude that he expresses towards the vulnerable and, because he was threatening to SUE ME for the damage to his shed - which he dropped upon being interviewed by PC Wood.

Yours,
S.

10; 25 October 2017, 13:28

Dear Mr Q*****

Thank you for contacting the Independent Police Complaints Commission (IPCC). We acknowledge receipt of a number of emails, with pictures attached, dated between 11 and 13 October 2017. Firstly please accept my apologies for the delay in responding but this has been due to a very high volume of work received recently.

You made a conduct complaint, via the IPCC, to the Professional Standards Department (PSD) of the West Midlands Police. The complaint was dealt with under police reference MI/1705/17. PSD have advised that the matter was closed and that you were informed by letter dated 16 August 2017.

You have now stated that "As you will see, PC Wood and Tracy O'Dell are now denying that any criminal activity took place". The IPCC oversees the process that deals with complaints about police conduct.

The IPCC does not have any control over the police handling of a criminal matter; we cannot instruct the police to investigate a criminal allegation nor can we review the results of the same. The decision whether to a reported crime warrants an investigation is an operational decision made in accordance with guidelines set by the Home Office. If you believe that a police force is failing to adhere to these guidelines, you should raise your concerns directly with the Chief Constable of the West midlands Police or the West Midlands Police and Crime Commissioner.

If the police have said that this is a civil matter then you should take independent legal advice.

The IPCC cannot assist further in this matter.

Yours sincerely

John Howarth
Customer Contact Adviser

Independent Police Complaints Commission (IPCC)

Tel: 0300 020 0096

Email: enquiries@ipcc.gsi.gov.uk

Twitter: @ipcc_enquiries

www.ipcc.gov.uk

11; 25 Oct 2017 at 13:56

Dear Mr Howarth,

Thank you very much for your positive response. Myself and my friend will be acting upon your advice for which we are very grateful.

I fully understand the IPCC's position but, I feel that it is worth informing you at this stage that I have NOT received any correspondence in any format whatsoever from WMP.

Wishing you all the best,
S.

12; 13 Nov 2017 at 13:54

Dear Beverley,

Thank you for your time and assistance on the telephone earlier - enclosed in this email is the last communication that I received from the IPCC about this and, PSD will be able to supply you with all of the communications between them and the IPCC regarding this case (Ref:2017/088786). However, if there are any missing communications or confusion at your end, I will be able to supply any outstanding information upon request.

In relation to this case, I feel that it is extremely important that Mr Thompson is made aware at this stage that neither myself, any other witnesses, or any other party have EVER received any correspondence from WMP regarding the apparent closure of this case and, PSD are adamant that a letter of this nature was sent to me in August. If this is the case, then why did I not receive it, and why did no other involved party also receive a copy of the notification?

As should be obvious to anyone, this case clearly involves multiple acts of criminality and, that this is why wildlife protection agencies initially referred this case to the Police (as Mr Thompson will see).

Yours,
S.

**** * * *

13; 13 Nov 2017 at 14:09

Thank you for your email S. I can confirm that, on behalf of the Chief Constable, I have requested a background report from the Professional Standards Department and you will be contacted again when further information is to hand.

Kind regards
Bev Godward
Personal Assistant to the Chief Constable
General Office: 0121 626 5300
b.godward@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk

14; 14 Nov 2017 at 14:11

Hi Beverley,

Apologies for any inconvenience, but I forgot to mention in my email to you and Mr Thompson yesterday that at no point did either Michelle Woods or Tracy O'Dell ever inform the IPCC that they had sent notices of case closure to me or to anyone and, it is only logical to assume that they would have informed the IPCC of this so as to deflect.

Yours,

S.

**** **

15; 14 Nov 2017 at 14:14

Thank you S. Duly noted.

Kind regards

Bev Godward

Personal Assistant to the Chief Constable

General Office: 0121 626 5300

b.godward@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk

16; 11 Dec 2017 at 12:13

Good afternoon Mr Q****,

I refer to the complaint regarding PC Wood. The complaint will be recorded and returned to me for investigation. Once I have a further update I will provide one.

Kind regards

DC 2258 Vicky Henry

Investigator

Professional Standards Department

West Midlands Police

Switchboard: 101 - West Midlands Police

Personal Extension: 8011695

Team Extension: 8015843

Email: v.henry@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk

17; 11 Dec 2017 at 13:44

Hi Vicky,

Thanks for getting back - much appreciated. However, I feel I must inform you that we are also waiting for this to be resolved so that we can report separate further crimes committed by others that have happened since.

To give you a brief outline; Alex Romane (one of the witnesses to the wildlife crime) has since had his life threatened multiple times by one of my neighbours and, they have also threatened to blow up the house multiple

times. Alex works (doing his voluntary community work) in the spare room which he has turned into an office and where he stays for several nights per month. While staying here overnight he fell into a dispute with one of my neighbours regarding repeated motoring offences that were affecting him when he stays here.

Approximately 2 years ago, Alex also witnessed this neighbour's friend threatening to rape a police woman's mother. This was in the street during the daytime, and in front of a group of minors. He was shouting the threat directly to the police woman so loudly and made sure all of the children were standing around him while he made the threat. There is much to this but Alex does not see how he can possibly submit any information to WMP about this, while WMP is lying, obstructing, and denying the less-important wildlife crime.

Until such a time, Alex is enduring their taunts and their bullying, as he feels he has nowhere to turn for back-up so with this being the case, we hope for a speedy resolution and, I would like to reiterate that I have never been interested in pursuing any disciplinary measures against PC Wood, but only to resolve this.

Yours,
S.

18; 12 Dec 2017 at 10:25

Good morning

Thank you for your email. Firstly I want to say that if you and/or Alex are suffering from threats this should be reported via 101 so that it can be addressed.

In relation to the complaint regarding the wildlife crimes I have just spoken at length with PC Michelle Wood. PC Wood is the Wildlife Crime Officer for Birmingham. It is clear from speaking to her she has taken on this role as she is passionate about protecting animals and birds. I explained the complaint and the issues around the lack of contact for which she apologised. PC Wood advised me that during this time she was long term sick for 5 months having had surgery. PC Wood is still on restricted duties due to this but is happy to speak with you about your concerns regarding the wildlife crimes.

PC Wood and I spoke about your report following your call to police she was informed of the incident and the concern for the nesting birds. PC Wood stated she visited your home as the Wildlife Act states at certain times building work cannot be done due to nesting birds. PC Wood stated that the evidence shown to her sadly was insufficient to prove any wildlife crimes. PC Wood also spoke with the neighbours concerned.

I have asked PC Wood which agencies may assist you in future if any further concerns are raised. PC Wood stated if you are concerned about nesting birds contact the RSPB but if you see dead birds which may have come to harm from an individual then to contact police.

In trying to resolve this matter would you like me to ask PC Wood to contact you so that you can discuss your concerns regarding the nesting birds.

Kind regards
Vicky

19; 20 Dec 2017 at 15:17

Dear Victoria,

With reference to WMP's continued cycle of denying any criminal activity followed by offers to continue the case, we obviously cannot accept your confusing and contradictory offer.

With reference to WMP's (evidenced) neglect of the criminal aspects of this case (trespass, vandalism, damage to

protected wildlife, witness intimidation, and death threats), I will be taking my case against WMP forward via alternative lawful means.

I reiterate; Michelle Wood accepted the USB evidence stick after confirming to me that it was clear that multiple crimes had taken place.

Alex Romane (my main witness) will be testifying about WMP's unlawful denial of service regarding this incident in the Inquiry into Establishment-led Child Abuse and Child Abuse Cover-ups, where he has several testimonies; One is against WMP and that relates to an incident in 2001 that Tracey O'Dell (PSD) is fully aware of and, this testimony has already been accepted into evidence.

As such, Alex has today informed the Panel about all of this and, he has also alerted them that you may attempt (by any means lawful or other) to prevent him from testifying and, I believe that WMP's motives for their unlawful denial of service regarding my garden and the death threats are completely related to Alex testifying against WMP (I don't believe it is a coincidence).

Happy Christmas!
S.

20; 4 Jan at 13:50

Good afternoon Mr Q****,

Thank you for speaking with me as discussed I would like to try and resolve your complaint. As discussed PC Michelle Wood suggested herself to me when I spoke with her that she could discuss your concerns regarding the issues around the nesting birds and damage. PC Wood is currently on restricted duties but is happy to meet you at her police station or speak with you on the telephone.

I know you wish to speak with your friend regarding this and I am happy to speak again with both your thoughts on how we can resolve your complaint.

My contact number is 101 for West Midlands Police then extension 801 1695.

Kind regards
Vicky

21; 16 Jan at 15:43

Dear Vicky,

Thank you for your email - We have a proposal that we believe will benefit both parties.

To clarify; There are three outstanding issues of conflict that myself and my friend/colleague [Alex] wish to resolve with you;

1. The criminal trespass and damage to my property and to protected species of wildlife by my former neighbour's landlord, and associated witness intimidation [as previously evidenced and submitted to WPC Michelle Wood].
2. Threats to rape a WPC's mother made by a neighbour directly to a WPC in front of a group of children [extremist grooming] in the street and witnessed by Alex [approx. 2 years ago] and, this same neighbour's recent multiple threats to bomb my house and to murder Alex [full details will be submitted if and when WMP accepts case one].

3. WMP's continued refusal since mid-2015 [via Tracy O'Dell] to either confirm or deny [to both Alex and the IPCC] his attendance in a meeting with WMP in 2001 that related to working with them on the force's website, and to then working on the formation of CEOP. WMP's on-going obstruction is despite knowing that a government agency alerted Alex to this job and, that MI5 and Scotland Yard witnessed his attendance at this meeting, as he had to sign the OSA.

Obviously, it is NOT possible to resolve issue two without WMP first restoring our access to lawful protection and resolving issue one and while issue three is unrelated to issues one and two, if WMP still maintains its stance regarding issue three, then there is no point working together to resolve issues one and two.

Regarding issue one; We acknowledge that even if WMP does now finally decide to investigate and to prosecute all of the criminal aspects involved, that this case would look terrible for WMP in court [the obstruction, delays, and refusal to collect further evidence that has since decayed etc] and so, Alex has a solution that he feels would deal with ALL aspects very simply and very quickly and, without the need to submit this case to the CPS or to even try to take it into court in the first place and, he would like to share his idea with you.

We're not bothered about revenge so are not looking to punish any party [such as the neighbour's landlord who destroyed my garden and killed my nesting birds] but, just to discipline him [to educate him] so that it doesn't happen again and, so that we are KNOWN in the community to be protected by the same laws that apply to every other UK citizen.

As such and if WMP is still unwilling to work with us so as to resolve all three issues, Alex will simply continue to take all of it into the child abuse hearings in London, where all aspects can be publicly acknowledged in a legal forum.

With regards to issue three; Alex wishes to reiterate that his testimony [re; 2001] in the child abuse hearings is NOT aimed at any current serving officers and, that he STILL refuses to disclose to the panel the WMP member's names from that meeting, so as to protect them from being made scapegoats by Parliament. Regarding WMP acting as an unlawful extension of government during this period, Alex is aiming ONLY to send the repercussions of this straight back to Parliament - where it came from, and to therefore continue to protect WMP from any political come-back.

Full info:

<http://paparty.co.uk/policies/IT/pol-15.htm>

Whether any issue gets resolved or not now directly between us, is due only to WMP's current choice to neglect the criminal aspects involved in issue one and, being that this is WMP's decision, the decision to work together on these issues has to come from WMP and, in the form of a change of position regarding all three issues - we believe [wrong or right] that the unlawful obstruction from WMP that we've been experiencing regarding issues one and two relates directly to Alex testifying against WMP re; 2001 and, that Tracy O'Dell has been aware of since mid-2015.

If it helps WMP with their decision - making regarding all of this, then I will be more than happy to forward Alex's proposal for dealing with issue one should this help?

We await your response,

S.

**** **

22; 25 Jan at 16:49

Hi Vicky,

With regards to my previous email [below] and relating to issue one; Myself and Alex feel that it is extremely important to reiterate to you that while the [metal] shed next door that was damaged by the collapse of my privet [caused by the neighbour's landlord] remains in its current state, it is STILL liable to collapse and, NO ONE

except for myself is informing new tenants of this danger [they all have children].

Until Alex can get permission from WMP to enter the neighbour's garden as so to cut-away the privet that was collapsed by the neighbour's landlord onto it [as discussed with WPC Michelle Woods when she accepted the USB evidence stick after inspecting the damage] and to then safely collapse what remains of the shed, this danger remains and being that WMP was informed of this danger right from the start, any liability for anything that may happen to any children when playing in their garden will rest entirely with the landlord [who WMP is currently and unlawfully protecting], and with WMP.

As mentioned previously; Alex has a very simply solution for how to prosecute and to close-down this thoroughly messed-up case, if you are interested?

Regarding issue two; Alex simply wishes to request that WMP opens a file so as to hold the information that he has already detailed to you about this person and, so that he can add further intel to the record over time. He has a vast direct experience of counselling and derad. of extremists in both the UK and Arabia and, he feels that this person is extremely dangerous and that WMP need to be aware of his increasing influence among the young in this community, and that was all.

To summarise; As far as myself and Alex are concerned, we have fulfilled ALL of our legal and social responsibilities regarding issues one and two. WPC Wood was made aware in the very beginning of Alex's poor state of health and disabilities and while preparing to testify in the inquiry into establishment-led child abuse and child abuse cover-ups, he obviously needs to avoid any further stress where possible.

As stated by Alex directly to Dave Thompson a few weeks ago, next time ANYONE trespasses onto my property to destroy my plants and/or to kill my animals or, if the extremist who has threatened to murder Alex and to bomb my house makes his move, we will simply take the law into our own hands and submit evidence against WMP in any consequential court hearings after.

Yours,
S

23; 5 Feb at 16:36

Hi Vicky,

While awaiting your response to my previous two emails [below], Alex met with a friend and colleague of his who works on the board of the Law Society and, he has shared all of the information about all three issues with her.

She has examined all of the evidence and has explained to Alex that at no point should he have ever offered WMP any alternative solutions, and that the onus was instead always on WMP to simply do its job and while Alex did know this, he felt that he could help to bring closure in this way. In our belief, we made it easy for WMP [as we were prepared to write-off all that had happened] and, we note that WMP was not even interested in reading and assessing his solution for issue one, or in creating a file so as to detail the threats and actions of extremists who threaten him and my house [issue two].

She has also confirmed that should the neighbouring landlord's shed collapse, causing injury to any children who play in the garden, that the liability will rest only with WMP and the landlord who you are currently protecting.

As such and due specifically to WMPs on-going confusing contradictions and denial of service, she has advised that we should no-longer communicate with WMP regarding any of the three issues, that "everything has been so messed-up by WMP", that the IPCC has failed to follow its own guidelines when investigating the complaint, and that Alex should instead ONLY progress towards resolution regarding these three issues via the Inquiry into Establishment-led Child Abuse and Child Abuse Cover-ups where the inquiry's solicitors can then address everything.

She believes [as we do] that WMP's inaction and protection of criminals who attack us [in any way] does actually relate to his testifying against WMP in the inquiry and, she specifically cites Tracy O'Dell's on-going obstruction [since Mid-2015] of the IPCC inquiry into the 2001 meeting as a key aspect of this.

Ref: <http://paparty.co.uk/policies/IT/pol-15.htm>

In response to WMP's inaction and regarding us taking the law into our own hands so as to protect my property, our so-called 'protected' wildlife, our pets, and ourselves, she has advised that we must still call WMP regardless of any actions that we may feel to take during any future incidents with trespassers, extremists, or anyone else who threatens or enacts criminal behaviour towards us.

However, she has also advised Alex that any potentially unlawful, preemptive action that he may feel to take directly against the extremists who have threatened us [so as to secure ourselves against further threats or criminal actions] will be considered in court and balanced against WMP's continued inaction - should anything else happen between now and when Alex testifies against WMP in the inquiry.

You should be aware that the only reasons for why Alex is considering -preemptive action against my extremist neighbours who threatened to kill him and to bomb my house is due to a combination of WMP's inaction about it, combined with the extremist's on-going taunts, threats, and psychological bullying that Alex endures every time they see he is at my house.

Through working with David Cameron [since 2009], Alex became aware that every UK police force has been assigned a direct liaison at MI5 specifically for the collation and reporting of intel on extremists, and so he feels that WMP's obstruction regarding creating a file [at least] that he can add further intel to over time [issue two], is representative of WMP's stance against Alex testifying re; 2001.

We have accepted her advice and so no longer wish to try to find resolution with WMP and as WMP knows, Alex is semi-disabled, and is suffering from the implications of multiple diseases and as such, he can no longer endure the distress caused by WMP's inaction and further confusing, conflicting statements [he is also trying to prepare to testify against the people who assisted with the kidnapping of his daughter nearly 20 years ago].

To summarise; We feel that we gave WMP multiple opportunities over many months to rectify their own "mess " and that we now need to draw a line and to move forward in another direction without WMP's involvement. Alex will continue to publish related aspects as and when he feels is necessary and if Dave Thompson doesn't like it, he is welcome to prosecute Alex for libel any time he may think that Alex has been inaccurate in any of his accounts.

However, being that Dave Thompson and WMP don't believe that we have submitted any evidence of ANY criminality committed against us by ANY party, neither him nor WMP would therefore have any cause for complaint when we publish this 'evidence' any way.

Yours,
S

24; 13 Mar at 16:15

Hi Vicky,

With regards to the case of criminal trespass, criminal damage to property, and intentional killing of protected wildlife that WMP has chosen to neglect, I felt to inform you that birds are again starting to build nests in the privet that was collapsed by my neighbour's landlord.

Please see the attached images {yellow line indicates where the land lord severed the privet) in my next email to you.

Being that WMP has neglected all aspects of this case and is protecting the perpetrators and, that WMP was happy to leave the issue of the semi-collapsed shed that presents a danger to children unresolved, Alex has not

been able to lawfully gain access to the neighbour's garden so as to cut the part of the privet that was collapsed by the neighbour's landlord onto his own shed during winter [the only safe season for tree surgery], and to rectify the situation regarding the shed.

As such, when anyone next attempts to cut the privet that STILL over-hangs into the neighbour's garden and that now has [protected] birds starting to build nests in it again, I will ensure that Alex is here and to reiterate; he will use Section 3 Criminal Law Act 1967 so as to protect the birds, plants, and my property.

All actions will be evidenced and should you feel the need to arrest Alex for any actions that he may have taken so as to protect the wildlife in my garden after, he wishes for me to inform you now that he will NOT co-operate or accept a caution in any interview [post-arrest], and will instead insist that he is charged [under any related charge], so that all can be dealt with via the courts.

He is informing you of this now on the suggestion of his friend who works at the Law Society [ref; my previous email] and as usual, this communication will be entered into evidence in relation to his testimonies against WMP in the IICSA, as we all feel that WMP's neglect relates to Alex's testimonies against WMP [previously mentioned] in this inquiry.

In addition, we would both like to thank you for the additional stress and time that we STILL find ourselves devoting to WMP, and its neglect of this case.

Yours,
S

25; 13 Mar at 16:21

Hi Vicki,

Please find attached images relevant to my previous email.

S

26; Letter from WMP [15.03.2018]:

Dear Mr Quinsey

Complaint Against the Police

I refer to the complaint against the police that you made on 25th October 2017 concerning allegations against PC 9235 Wood.

It is my role to determine the outcome of your complaint and to inform you regarding any action that will be taken as a consequence. I will also provide information concerning your right of appeal to the relevant appeal body.

I have not sought the advice of the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) in this matter as there is insufficient evidence to indicate that any member of West Midlands Police has committed a criminal offence and therefore this matter does not reach the threshold for such a referral.

You complain of a lack of police action into the criminal trespass and damage to your property and to protected species of wildlife by your former neighbour's landlord, and associated witness intimidation, which was evidenced and submitted to PC 9235 Wood.

On 2nd November 2016 (Police Incident Log 1419 of 02/11/2016) you contacted police reporting vandalism and damage to a hedge by the landlord of your neighbouring property, probably killing nesting birds.

PC 9235 Wood has been spoken to and stated you contacted police regarding nesting birds and it was brought to her attention as wildlife crime officer. PC Wood stated she visited you as the Wildlife Acts states at certain times of the year work cannot be done due to nesting birds. PC Wood stated you showed her pictures in a book of insects that you believed had been harmed but they were not native to this country and you and your friend Alex spoke of working for the Prime Minister. PC Wood stated there were no wildlife offences; the garden was in a poor state, no damage could be seen to any bush, there were no photographs of any destroyed birds and the footage only showed a discussion between you and

your neighbour in which you were considered to be the aggressor. PC Wood stated there was no evidence of any wildlife crimes. PC Wood stated she also spoke with your neighbour.

Your report of potential wildlife crime was proportionately investigated by a specialist officer for Wildlife Crime who determined that on the balance of probabilities no crimes had been committed in accordance with Home Office Counting Rules and Crime Recording Standards.

Your complaint is therefore **NOT UPHeld**, with no case to answer regarding misconduct against any police officer. I will be taking no further action in relation to this allegation.

I am content that the officer's performance is satisfactory on this occasion.

Should you be dissatisfied with the information you have been provided with, the ultimate findings of the investigation or you disagree with the proposed outcome, or the decision not to refer the matter to the CPS, you have the right to appeal to West Midlands Police, in which case you do NOT have a right to appeal to the IOPC.

Information about the West Midlands Police appeal process, including access to an online appeal form can be found on the West Midlands Police website at:

<https://www.westmidlands.police.uk/services/submit-appeal>

Please note that any Appeal must be made to the relevant appeal body within 28 days of the day after the date of this letter, after which your appeal may not be considered.


If no appeal is made, then I will proceed with the actions that have been outlined above.

You have further raised concerns in relation to threats being made by a neighbour to rape a police officer's mother directly to the officer in front of a group of children in the street and witnessed by Alex (approx. 2 years ago) and the same neighbour's recent multiple threats to bomb your house and to murder Alex. These amount to criminal allegations and should be reported as a criminal offence via Force Contact on 101 or if you are in immediate danger via 999 which is the appropriate procedure to follow when reporting a crime.

I have enclosed a separate letter with regard to your concerns regarding West Midlands Police refusal to confirm or deny to Alex and the IOPC his attendance at a meeting with West Midlands Police in 2001 which related to working with them on the force's website and the formulation of CEOP.

Thank you for raising your complaint on this occasion and for giving me the opportunity to address the issues you identified.

Yours sincerely



Police Staff 53862 Ward
Appropriate Authority
Professional Standards Department

27; 13 Apr at 19:14

Hi Vicky,

Re; Tracy O'Dells' letter to us [15.03.2018]

I am horrified to note that in addition to WMP's denial of service regarding issue one, that WMP is now actually trying to frame Alex for it - labelling him as the "aggressor" and, while still 'encouraging' us to report issue two? In my opinion this is absolutely perverse and, I assume that WMP is now framing Alex for issue one in an attempt to cover-up their confusing, contradictory, and vindictive mishandling of it?

For example; WMP's acknowledgement of Alex's [verbal] aggression while defending my property and lawfully protected wildlife, while at the same time completely dismissing the overt evidence against the land lord [his stated intention to break the law and his stated disregard for the law] is just one blatant example that we and our legal advisors now believe amounts to WMP victimising a vulnerable victim of crime.

Even if Alex's aggression in these circumstances could ever [hypothetically] be shown to be unlawful, he was acting so as to prevent a crime which as WMP knows, is a lawful entitlement under Section 3 Criminal Law Act 1967. In its manipulation, WMP disregards the evidenced statement; "I don't care about the law - it's just an inconvenience." - said by the land lord prior to him committing multiple crimes.

Another blatant example is where PC Wood states to Tracey O'Dell that the garden was "In a sorry state." We have multiple photographs and witnesses going right back to 2011 who have confirmed that they will testify that the garden was kept immaculate [some are known and are well-respected by some of your own officers] and was maintained by Alex who incidentally, was at one time one of the managers for Balsall Heath Forum and its garden centre - a role that was only open to experienced and passionate gardeners.

Another blatant example; The "sorry state" that PC Wood refers to WAS the criminal damage that we evidenced and submitted to PC Wood via USB. Also evidenced was my continually-ignored requests for PC Wood to return to collect further evidence [destroyed birds nests and other additional evidence] - as agreed with PC Wood at the time of her initial visit, and since excused [and therefore acknowledged] by WMP on PC Wood's behalf, but NEVER rectified. As accepted by PC Wood at the time and when informing us that she was going to interview the land lord, the extent of the damage meant that the nests could not be retrieved until the damage could be cleared.

In addition, both The IPCC and WMP were supplied with further evidence that detailed how the garden looked after Alex had restored it. These photographs would have been representative of how the garden was before being damaged by the neighbours land lord. PC Wood would have seen these images and so therefore would have known that the garden was neat. This alone demonstrates that PC Wood has lied to Tracey O' Dell. This is just one example that our legal representatives will use so as to demonstrate WMP's victimisation.

PC Wood was also made aware of year's-worth of attacks to my property and protected wildlife prior to this particular attack and so was aware of the history, and that this was the first time that I actually felt to involve the police.

PC Wood offered understanding regarding how Alex had expressed himself towards the land lord in those moments. As explained to PC Wood by Alex during her initial visit; He was embarrassed about how angry he became but, being that it was the land lord who expressed criminal intentions while threatening my property and wildlife, our legal representative's view is that it is clearly defensive - as PC Wood herself confirmed at the time. She therefore lied to Alex about this and, she is now lying by implying that the garden was already ruined - how could she possibly have known this when she had never visited the garden before and therefore, would have nothing to compare it to?

Regarding "probably killing nesting birds"; probably and killing are irrelevant because, the Act clearly states that even if there is just a suspicion that birds may be nesting, then no work can be done. This means that despite the fact that we do have evidence in the form of destroyed birds nests, that Tracey O'Dell's point about us not being able to present any dead birds as evidence is completely irrelevant with regards to this Act (as she would know).

I reiterate; many people witnessed the birds building and then inhabiting their nests in the privet (not in a hedge). PC Wood was never shown a book of insects; I never stated that I believed that insects had been harmed but, I did show her photographs of insects on the USB evidence stick that she accepted and, this was as part of my demonstration to her, where I was explaining about how the garden was in use as a policy research unit - a continuation of Alex's work from his former role at BHF and where he (not me) started working with David Cameron.

Also contrary to Tracey O' Dell's letter; PC Wood confirmed to me that Wildlife Offences had been committed (hence why she accepted the USB stick); She also confirmed that from the photographs, she could see the damage (I have explained above about destroyed birds); There was no footage showing a discussion but there was recorded audio evidence that did, and that was on the stick.

To summarise; In everything that PC Wood has stated to Tracey O' Dell, PC Wood has contradicted everything that she stated to both myself and Alex during her initial visit where she accepted the USB stick into evidence.

As usual, we have evidenced WMP's further actions and, Alex has therefore submitted further related documents to the inquiry into establishment-led child abuse and child abuse cover-ups [as it now relates].

As previously mentioned, we and ALL PA-connected personnel will now use Section 3 of the Criminal Law Act 1967 so as to protect ourselves and our interests and being that WMP is now attempting to frame us for crimes committed against us, we will not be reporting any further incidents that may occur [contrary to our previous notice].

In these circumstances, all PA-connected personnel have agreed that if any of us are [potentially] ever arrested for anything ourselves, we will all refuse to co-operate or to accept a caution [if offered], and will instead insist that we are charged [with any related charges] so that all issues can be dealt with by the courts, instead of solely by WMP.

I remind you that as well as preparing to testify against WMP in the inquiry re; issue three [his meeting with WMP in 2001] and anything now associated [such as this case/issue one], that Alex is also trying to prepare to testify against the remaining people who were involved in the kidnap of his daughter nearly twenty years ago. As such, it would not be in WMP's interests to continue to attack Alex further - especially as members of WMP were involved [of whom, only some were disciplined by the courts at the time].

To summarise: In everything that PC Wood has stated to Tracey O' Dell, PC Wood has contradicted everything that she stated to both myself and Alex during her initial visit where she accepted the USB stick into evidence.

In response Alex will now also testify about WMP's victimisation [in addition to denial of service] during his testimonies to the inquiry and as such, the inquiry solicitors and his current representation will be dealing with it in due course.

For your information; Alex has immunity from prosecution regarding breaking the OSA and from disclosing anything about his testimonies to third parties, due to the fact that all of his testimonies were already published into the public domain before the inquiry was established. In addition, he would also like for me to remind you that he has submitted to undertake criminal forensic psychological lie testing regarding all testimonies/cases that unlike polygraph test results, are admissible as evidence in a court of law.

Again, we'd like to thank WMP for the additional work and further distress caused to both of us by sending me this particular letter at this time, where it is overtly evident that WMP is now attempting to frame Alex for the crimes of others who we ourselves reported.

Yours,
S

PS; Regardless of anything, WMP is responsible [along with the neighbour's land lord] for the shed that was partially collapsed by him and, that still poses a safety risk to children.

28; 21 Jul at 18:10

Hi Vicky,

In light of renewed attacks against my property [and now also my cats] by the same people who WMP is unlawfully protecting, Alex has requested that I pass this email to you from him [below];

S

Vicky,

Due to WMP's [in our legal rep's view] unlawful stance re; criminal trespass, criminal damage, and the defence in law of protected nesting areas within S's garden during the previous three years, your new friends have recently returned to do more damage and of course, now empowered by WMP with the false notion that they are lawfully entitled to do so and, after being told by PC Wood that I have a "screw loose."

They are now also targeting and attacking S's adopted ferals [one of them is crippled and needs to be put down and they are proactively trying to PREVENT this cat's access to S's garden and house] and, ALL has been recorded on video. Maybe you could let their new friend PC Wood know about this - being that she [apparently] cares about animal welfare?

The RSPCA has been involved and have seen the USB evidence stick that PC Wood initially accepted and, they are shocked at PC Wood's neglect and mishandling of this case [being that they know of her]. In addition, your new friends now continually taunt me every time they are here for being mentally unwell, as PC Michelle Woods had [unlawfully] expressed this to them [confirmed BY THEM in our recorded evidence].

This time and just to start with, they smashed through and removed an entire fence panel on S's side, and then proceeded to hack into S's plants and while doing so, the remainder of the family [including the mother] learned over S's fence and smashed poles and sticks into S's plants while laughing about killing wildlife [all recorded]. She even shouts at me to "Fuck off!" while doing it.

They also ordered me to "Just go inside and make us some tea!" several times while taunting me with "Even the police say you're a nutter!" and empowered by PC Wood's statement to them about my mental welfare, they have now involved others in the community in their attacks against me.

While this was happening, one of the land lord's sons also accused me of calling him a "Paki c**t" and has told the community of this and also, of PC Wood confirming to him that I was mentally unwell. He promised to submit his recording of me insulting him in this way but he has not and will not - because I never said this and so it therefore doesn't even exist [my recordings show the point at which he lied about this]. I called him a Muslim [which he IS] and, this was when I was questioning his destructive, non-peaceful actions against his beloved Qur'an.

In addition, I am now regularly verbally attacked and physically threatened by their local friends and family [who don't even know me or of ANY of the issues] and I blame WMP for ALL of this.

As such, our article will now additionally include PC Wood's name as well as ALL of the videos of all I have described above - thought it would be nice for the world to see what sort of friends you choose and unless there is an immediate intervention from WMP, it all goes live as soon as we're ready.

I have also informed Dave Thompson that at some near point, I will prompt my own arrest and will REFUSE a caution [if offered], and will instead insist that I am charged [with anything related] so that this case can be assessed and dealt with by the courts, and away from WMP's on-going criminal bias.

Links to the article will appear across many of our site pages, including our already-existing page that examples corrupt policing [http://paparty.co.uk/direct_democracy_media_social_ps.htm] and, we will also hijack search terms on search engines [an entirely lawful practice] so that when anyone enters "West Midlands Police" or 'Dave Thompson' or "PC Michelle Wood" into Google etc, it is OUR article [that will also link to our article about WMP's cover-up of child-abusing MPs in 2001] that will be visible before your own resources:

<http://paparty.co.uk/policies/IT/pol-15.htm>

S has already mentioned to you of how unwell I actually am and, that my illnesses are a result of losing my daughter to kidnap almost 20 years ago. He has also mentioned that I am trying to prepare to testify against the men involved and while it is true that I have been diagnosed with PTSD [a psychological disorder], I have NOT been diagnosed with any psychiatric disorders, and so PC Wood was incorrect when she told our attackers who you defend that I "had a screw loose".

Further to this and as she is NOT qualified to assess me and therefore HAS not assessed me, she broke the law in expressing this opinion to them [it is therefore a blatant attack].

On-top of all of the diseases that I endure, I was also diagnosed with osteoporosis last Friday [13/7/2018] and so I am now terminally ill - and WMP wants to keep fighting me? You absolute bastards. You were warned by S some months ago to cease your attacks against me and while it is your free will to choose to ignore my request, it is my free will to respond in any lawful way I choose.

Our article will also be submitted to the IICSA, as I believe that WMP's recent actions relate and, it should be worth you noting that I have also recently secured the patent for the world's first free, clean, safe, infinite atomic energy technology and that we are already in the mass-production stage of electronic devices and therefore, that the whole world will soon see anything that I have to say - about anything.

Alex Romane

**** **

<http://paparty.co.uk>

29; 21 Jul at 18:11

Victoria Henry <v.henry@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk>

To:

S

I am leave until Thursday 5th April 2018. In my absence please contact DS Cathy Bowler, Professional Standards Department, for any urgent matters.

30; 24 Jul at 15:37

Hi Cathy,

I received an auto-reply from Dave Thompson's PA Vicky [the intended recipient to the email below] and was advised to forward it to you and realising that you will have no context for this email, I thought to let you know that Dave Thompson and Tracy O'Dell know everything related, and so could help to fill you in.

If you need any further information, please feel free to email me anytime and I will respond accordingly.

Thanks,

S

----- Forwarded message -----

From: S <*****>

To: v.henry@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk <v.henry@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk>

Sent: Saturday, 21 July 2018, 18:10:36 BST

Subject: Issue 1

Hi Vicky,

In light of renewed attacks against my property [and now also my cats] by the same people who WMP is unlawfully protecting, Alex has requested that I pass this email to you from him [below];

S

Vicky,

Due to WMP's [in our legal rep's view] unlawful stance re; criminal trespass, criminal damage, and the defence in law of protected nesting areas within S's garden during the previous three years, your new friends have recently returned to do more damage and of course, now empowered by WMP with the false notion that they are lawfully entitled to do so and, after being told by PC Wood that I have a "screw loose."

They are now also targeting and attacking S's adopted ferals [one of them is crippled and needs to be put down and they are proactively trying to PREVENT this cat's access to S's garden and house] and, ALL has been recorded on video. Maybe you could let their new friend PC Wood know about this - being that she [apparently] cares about animal welfare?

The RSPCA has been involved and have seen the USB evidence stick that PC Wood initially accepted and, they are shocked at PC Wood's neglect and mishandling of this case [being that they know of her]. In addition, your new friends now continually taunt me every time they are here for being mentally unwell, as PC Michelle Woods had [unlawfully] expressed this to them [confirmed BY THEM in our recorded evidence].

This time and just to start with, they smashed through and removed an entire fence panel on S's side, and then proceeded to hack into S's plants and while doing so, the remainder of the family [including the mother] learned over S's fence and smashed poles and sticks into S's plants while laughing about killing wildlife [all recorded]. She even shouts at me to "Fuck off!" while doing it.

They also ordered me to "Just go inside and make us some tea!" several times while taunting me with "Even the police say you're a nutter!" and empowered by PC Wood's statement to them about my mental welfare, they have now involved others in the community in their attacks against me.

While this was happening, one of the land lord's sons also accused me of calling him a "Paki c**t" and has told the community of this and also, of PC Wood confirming to him that I was mentally unwell. He promised to submit his recording of me insulting him in this way but he has not and will not - because I never said this and so it therefore doesn't even exist [my recordings show the point at which he lied about this]. I called him a Muslim [which he IS] and, this was when I was questioning his destructive, non-peaceful actions against his beloved Qur'an.

In addition, I am now regularly verbally attacked and physically threatened by their local friends and family [who don't even know me or of ANY of the issues] and I blame WMP for ALL of this.

As such, our article will now additionally include PC Wood's name as well as ALL of the videos of all I have described above - thought it would be nice for the world to see what sort of friends you choose and unless there is an immediate intervention from WMP, it all goes live as soon as we're ready.

I have also informed Dave Thompson that at some near point, I will prompt my own arrest and will REFUSE a caution [if offered], and will instead insist that I am charged [with anything related] so that this case can be assessed and dealt with by the courts, and away from WMP's on-going criminal bias.

Links to the article will appear across many of our site pages, including our already-existing page that examples corrupt policing [http://paparty.co.uk/direct_democracy_media_social_ps.htm] and, we will also hijack search terms on search engines [an entirely lawful practice] so that when anyone enters "West Midlands Police" or "Dave Thompson" or "PC Michelle Wood" into Google etc, it is OUR article [that will also link to our article about WMP's cover-up of child-abusing MPs in 2001] that will be visible before your own resources:

<http://paparty.co.uk/policies/IT/pol-15.htm>

S has already mentioned to you of how unwell I actually am and, that my illnesses are a result of losing my daughter to kidnap almost 20 years ago. He has also mentioned that I am trying to prepare to testify against the men involved and while it is true that I have been diagnosed with PTSD [a psychological disorder], I have NOT been diagnosed with any psychiatric disorders, and so PC Wood was incorrect when she told our attackers who you defend that I "had a screw loose".

Further to this and as she is NOT qualified to assess me and therefore HAS not assessed me, she broke the law in expressing this opinion to them [it is therefore a blatant attack].

On-top of all of the diseases that I endure, I was also diagnosed with osteoporosis last Friday [13/7/2018] and so I am now terminally ill - and WMP wants to keep fighting me? You absolute bastards. You were warned by S some months ago to cease your attacks against me and while it is your free will to choose to ignore my request, it is my free will to respond in any lawful way I choose.

Our article will also be submitted to the IICSA, as I believe that WMP's recent actions relate and, it should be worth you noting that I have also recently secured the patent for the world's first free, clean, safe, infinite atomic energy technology and that we are already in the mass-production stage of electronic devices and therefore, that the whole world will soon see anything that I have to say - about anything.

Alex Romane

**** **

<http://paparty.co.uk>